Control with Sliding Mode of a Five-Phase Series-Connected Two-asynchronous Motor Drive
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Abstract – In this paper, we study sliding mode control of series-connected five-phase two asynchronous machines supplied with a three levels inverter. After presentation of multiphase machines, we worked out the mathematical model of five phase asynchronous machine supplied with voltage inverter. Application of Park transformation reduces considerably the mathematical model of machine. After, we applied vector control and sliding mode control to the five-phase induction machine. After that, we study a multi-machine system, which comport five-phase two asynchronous machines supplied with a single voltage inverter. In the last, we had the sliding mode control of series-connected five-phase two asynchronous machines. Simulations are presented to show the effectiveness of the control strategy. We observe that an appropriate transposition of phase’s order permits an independent control of two machines.
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I. Introduction

Ever since the inception of the first five-phase variable speed drive in 1969 [1], five-phase machines have been considered as a viable alternative to three-phase machines. This especially holds true for high-power and safety-critical variable speed applications, where a five-phase drive can be realized using inverters with smaller rating per leg while enabling fail-safe operation in redundancy mode [2,3].

A vector control scheme for a five-phase machine is in its basic form, regardless of the machine type, identical to the corresponding vector control scheme for a three-phase machine [4,5]. However, since vector control of an ac machine requires only two axis currents for decoupled flux and torque control, higher torque density can be achieved in a five-phase machine by utilizing the remaining two degrees of freedom. The injection of the third stator current harmonic enables utilization of the third spatial harmonic of the field for torque production, in addition to the fundamental harmonic of the field [2,3].

A rather different use of these additional degrees of freedom was proposed in [5]. On the basis of considerations related to the machine's rotating field, it was suggested to connect two five-phase machines in series and supply them from a single five-phase source. By introducing an appropriate phase transposition in this series connection, it was reasoned that the two machines could be controlled completely independently, using basic vector control schemes, although they are supplied from the common five-phase source. The major advantage of such a two-motor drive system is the reduction of the number of required inverter legs, when compared to an equivalent two-motor three-phase drive system (from six to five). This translates into increased reliability, due to a smaller number of components. On the basis of this novel d-q axis model of the series-connected two-motor drive system, an indirect rotor flux oriented control scheme is designed.

A detailed simulation study is finally undertaken. The complete drive system, including the hysteresis current controllers and the voltage source inverter (VSI), is simulated for a number of transients. It is shown that completely decoupled flux and torque control results not only for each of the two machines, but for one machine with respect to the other as well. The correctness of the developed models and the vector control scheme are verified in this way.

II. Description of the drive

The drive consists of two five-phase machines, which can be either induction or synchronous (permanent magnet or synchronous reluctance) and which can be freely mixed within the system. It is here assumed that the machines in question are both induction motors,
without any loss of generality. Five-phase stator windings of the two machines are connected in series, with an appropriate phase transposition, as illustrated in Fig. 1. Phase transposition in the series connection is a necessary prerequisite for independent vector control of the two control. Inverter phase sequence is denoted in Fig. 1 with capital letters A,B,C,D,E, while the phase sequence of the two machines, respecting the spatial distribution of the windings, is identified with lower case letters a,b,c,d,e. Spatial displacement between any two consecutive phases in the machines equals $\alpha = 2\pi/5$.

According to the connection diagram of Fig. 1, inverter phase-to-neutral voltages and the correlation between inverter output currents and machine phase currents are given with

$$
\begin{align*}
    v_A &= v_{a1} + v_{a2} \\
    v_B &= v_{b1} + v_{b2} \\
    v_C &= v_{c1} + v_{c2} \\
    v_D &= v_{d1} + v_{d2} \\
    v_E &= v_{e1} + v_{e2}
\end{align*}
$$

\(1\)

(1)

$$
\begin{align*}
    i_A &= i_{a1} = i_{a2} \\
    i_B &= i_{b1} = i_{b2} \\
    i_C &= i_{c1} = i_{c2} \\
    i_D &= i_{d1} = i_{d2} \\
    i_E &= i_{e1} = i_{e2}
\end{align*}
$$

\(2\)

It is assumed for modeling purposes that all the standard assumptions of the general theory of electrical machines apply [9], including the one related to sinusoidal distribution of the resulting field in the machine. Rotor windings are initially taken as five-phase as well, for the sake of generality.

III. Drive Modelling

III.1. Phase-Variable Model

Two machines of Fig. 1 are assumed to be of different parameters and ratings, for the sake of generality. The electrical subsystem’s model of the drive in Fig. 1 is of the $15^{th}$ order and it can be represented in matrix form (underlined quantities) with

$$
\begin{align*}
    v &= R i + \frac{d(Li)}{dt}
\end{align*}
$$

\(3\)

Where machines. Its purpose is to make flux/torque-producing currents of one machine non flux/torque-producing currents in the second machine, and vice versa [5]. The two-motor drive is supplied from a single five-phase VSI, which is current controlled. Current control is exercised upon phase currents in the stationary reference frame, using either hysteresis or ramp-comparison current

$$
\begin{align*}
    v^{\text{INV}} &= \begin{bmatrix} v_A & v_B & v_C & v_D & v_E \end{bmatrix}^T \\
    i^{\text{INV}} &= \begin{bmatrix} i_A & i_B & i_C & i_D & i_E \end{bmatrix}^T
\end{align*}
$$

\(4\)

$$
\begin{align*}
    R &= \begin{bmatrix} R_{r1} + R_{r2} & 0 \\
    0 & R_{r1} \end{bmatrix} \\
    L &= \begin{bmatrix} L_{r1} + L_{r2} & L_{r1} & L_{r2} & 0 & 0 \\
    L_{r1} & L_{r1} & 0 & L_{r2} \end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
$$

\(5\)

Sub-matrices of the inductance matrix identified with the prime symbol are those whose form has been altered through the phase transposition operation.

III.2. Decoupling transformation

Decoupling (Clark’s) transformation matrix is applied first. Let the correlation between original phase variables and new $(\alpha, \beta)$ variables be given with $f_{\alpha\beta} = C f_{\text{abcde}}$. 
Where $C$ is the power-invariant transformation matrix 
\[ C = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 1 & \cos \alpha & \cos 2\alpha & \cos 3\alpha & \cos 4\alpha \\ \beta & 0 & \sin \alpha & \sin 2\alpha & \sin 3\alpha & \sin 4\alpha \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{3} & \sqrt{3} & \sqrt{3} & \sqrt{3} \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{3} & \sqrt{3} & \sqrt{3} & \sqrt{3} \end{bmatrix} \] (7)

Application of (8) in conjunction with inverter voltages yields axis components of the inverter voltages
\[ \begin{bmatrix} V_{a}^{INV} \\ V_{b}^{INV} \\ V_{x}^{INV} \\ V_{y}^{INV} \\ V_{0}^{INV} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} v_{a1} + v_{a2} \\ v_{b1} + v_{b2} \\ v_{d1} + v_{d2} \\ v_{e1} + v_{e2} \\ v_{s1} + v_{s2} \end{bmatrix} \] \[ = C \begin{bmatrix} v_{a1} + v_{s1} \\ v_{b1} - v_{s2} \\ v_{d1} + v_{ds} \\ v_{e1} + v_{es} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \] (9)

Which can be further expressed, using correlation (1), as functions of the voltage axis components of the two machines
\[ \begin{bmatrix} v_{a1} + v_{s1} \\ v_{b1} - v_{s2} \\ v_{d1} + v_{ds} \\ v_{e1} + v_{es} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_{a1} + v_{s1} \\ v_{b1} - v_{s2} \\ v_{d1} + v_{ds} \\ v_{e1} + v_{es} \end{bmatrix} \]

Due to the absence of the neutral conductor inverter zero sequence voltage components must equal zero. The correlation between inverter voltage axis components and individual machine's voltage axis components implies series connection between appropriate $\alpha - \beta$ and x-y circuits of the two machines. A corresponding correlation between inverter output currents and $\alpha - \beta$ and x-y current components of the two machines is obtained by using (8) in conjunction with (2).
\[ i_{a}^{INV} = i_{a1} = i_{s1} \\ i_{b}^{INV} = i_{b1} = -i_{s2} \\ i_{x}^{INV} = i_{x1} = i_{s1} \\ i_{y}^{INV} = i_{y1} = i_{b1} \] (10)

The zero sequence component is omitted due to the star connection of the system without neutral conductor.

### III.3. Model in the Stationary Common Reference Frame

Upon application of the decoupling transformation matrix (9) onto inverter and rotor voltage equations of (3). Rotational transformation matrix, leading to the d-q system of equations, is applied in conjunction with rotor equations (angle $\theta$ is the instantaneous rotor position, which is different for the two machines):

\[ D_{r} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} \] (11)

Since the stator-to-rotor coupling appears in $\alpha - \beta$ equations only and torque production is entirely governed by $\alpha - \beta$ current (flux) components, rotational transformation is not applied to x-y rotator equations. As rotational transformation is applied to rotor windings only, indices $\alpha, \beta$ and d, q are interchangeable in inverter (stator) equations (8)-(10).

The resulting system model is in the stationary common reference frame and is in general of the $15^{th}$ order. However, taking into account that rotor windings of the two machines are short-circuited, rotor x-y component equations and rotor sequence equation can be omitted from further consideration. Zero sequence component equation for the inverter can be omitted as well. The electro-magnetic part of the drive system can then be represented with eight first-order differential equations. The four inverter equations are
\[ \begin{aligned} v_{d}^{INV} &= R_{d}^{INV} + (L_{d} + L_{m1}) \frac{dv_{d}^{INV}}{dt} + L_{m1} \frac{dv_{s1}^{INV}}{dt} + R_{s}^{INV} i_{s1}^{INV} + L_{m2} \frac{dv_{s2}^{INV}}{dt} \\ v_{q}^{INV} &= R_{q}^{INV} + (L_{q} + L_{m1}) \frac{dv_{q}^{INV}}{dt} + L_{m1} \frac{dv_{s2}^{INV}}{dt} + R_{s}^{INV} i_{s2}^{INV} + L_{m2} \frac{dv_{s1}^{INV}}{dt} \\ v_{d} &= R_{d} i_{d}^{INV} + L_{d} \frac{di_{d}^{INV}}{dt} + R_{s}^{INV} i_{s1}^{INV} + (L_{m1} + L_{s1}) \frac{di_{s1}^{INV}}{dt} + L_{m2} \frac{di_{s2}^{INV}}{dt} \\ v_{q} &= R_{q} i_{q}^{INV} + L_{q} \frac{di_{q}^{INV}}{dt} + R_{s}^{INV} i_{s2}^{INV} + (L_{m1} + L_{s2}) \frac{di_{s2}^{INV}}{dt} + L_{m2} \frac{di_{s1}^{INV}}{dt} \end{aligned} \] (12)

Or, in terms of individual machine d-q axis stator voltage components (according to (9))
\[ \begin{aligned} v_{d}^{INV} &= v_{d1} + v_{s1} \\ v_{q}^{INV} &= v_{q1} - v_{s2} \\ v_{x}^{INV} &= v_{x1} + v_{d2} \\ v_{y}^{INV} &= v_{y1} + v_{q2} \end{aligned} \] (13)
Rotor voltage equilibrium equations of the two machines are:

\[
0 = R_i j_{i1} + L_n \frac{du_{i1}}{dt} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 = R_i j_{i2} + L_n \frac{du_{i2}}{dt} + \omega_L (L_n i_q + (L_n + L_m) j_{i1})
\]

Finally, torque equations of the two series connected machines are given in terms of inverter current axis components with

\[
C_{em1} = P_L j_{i1} \left[ i_{dq}^{\text{INV}} - i_{d1}^{\text{INV}} \right] \\
C_{em2} = P_L j_{i2} \left[ i_{dq}^{\text{INV}} - i_{d2}^{\text{INV}} \right]
\]

\[ (15) \]

**IV. Design of sliding mode control**

The sliding mode control is one of simplest approaches of the robust control. Very good performances (response, precision) can be obtained in the presence of uncertainties on the parameters of the system and their variations on the one part, and uncertainties on the models of the system on the other part. These performances are obtained at the price of a very strong activity of order which can result in very strong oscillations called “Chattering”. The design of the controllers by sliding mode takes into account the problems of stability and good performances in a systematic way in its approach, which is devised into three principal stages [6]:

- Choice of surfaces,
- Establishment of the conditions of existence and convergence,
- Determination of the law of control.

**IV.1. Choice of the surface of commutation**

J.J. Slotine proposes a format general equation to determine sliding surface

\[
s(x) = \left( \frac{d}{dt} + \lambda \right)^{n-1} e
\]

\[ (16) \]

\[ e = X_d - X : \text{Variation} \]

\[ \lambda : \text{Positif coefficient} \]

\[ n: \text{order of the system} \]

\[ X_d : \text{Desired value} \]

**IV.2. Condition of convergence**

The condition of convergence is defined by the equation of Lyapunov. It makes surface attractive and invariant

\[ s(x) \cdot s(x) < 0 \]

\[ (17) \]

**V. Sliding mode control of a Five-Phase Series-Connected Two-Motor Drive**

If one chooses n=1, surfaces are written:

For the first machine:

\[
\begin{align*}
S(\Omega_1) &= \Omega_{1\text{ref}} - \Omega_1 \\
S(\phi_1) &= \phi_{1\text{ref}} - \phi_1 \\
S(i_{d1}) &= i_{d1\text{ref}} - i_d \\
S(i_{q1}) &= i_{q1\text{ref}} - i_{q1}
\end{align*}
\]

\[ (18) \]

For the second machine:

\[
\begin{align*}
S(\Omega_2) &= \Omega_{2\text{ref}} - \Omega_2 \\
S(\phi_2) &= \phi_{2\text{ref}} - \phi_2 \\
S(i_{d2}) &= i_{d2\text{ref}} - i_{d2} \\
S(i_{q2}) &= i_{q2\text{ref}} - i_{q2}
\end{align*}
\]

\[ (19) \]

Decoupling resulting from the orientation of the rotor flow of the two machines enables us to control flow and speed separately, by using the current \( i_d \) for the control of flow and the current \( i_q \) for the speed control.

**V.1. Application of the control to the first machine**

Along the axe “d”

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{i}_{d1n} &= K_{g1} \text{sign} \left(S(\phi_1)\right) \\
\dot{i}_{d1eq} &= \frac{T_{em1}}{L_{n1}} \phi_{1\text{ref}} + \frac{1}{L_{n1}} \phi_1
\end{align*}
\]

\[ (20) \]
And

\[ \dot{S}(i_{q1}^*) = 0 \Rightarrow \]

\[ \begin{align*}
V_{eq} &= K_{eq} \text{sign}(S(i_{q1}^*)) \\
V_{eq}' &= \sigma_1L_{q1} + L_{r1}i_{q1}^* \dot{i}_{q1}^* + R_{r1}i_{q1}^* - (\sigma_1L_{q1} + L_{r1})\omega_{r1}i_{q1}^* + \frac{L_{q1}}{L_{r1}}\phi_{i1}^*
\end{align*} \tag{21} \]

Along the axis “q”

\[ \begin{align*}
\dot{S}(\Omega_{r1}) &= 0 \Rightarrow \\
\dot{i}_{q1} &= K_{eq} \text{sign}(S(\Omega_{r1})) \\
\dot{t}_{q1} &= \frac{J_{r1}L_{q1}}{L_{r1}^2} + f_{r1}\Omega_{r1}
\end{align*} \tag{22} \]

And

\[ \begin{align*}
\dot{S}(i_{q2}^*) &= 0 \Rightarrow \\
V_{eq} &= k_{eq} \text{sign}(S(i_{q2}^*)) \\
V_{eq}' &= (\sigma_2L_{q2} + L_{r2})i_{q2}^* \dot{i}_{q2}^* + R_{r2}i_{q2}^* - (\sigma_2L_{q2} + L_{r2})\omega_{r2}i_{q2}^* + \frac{L_{q2}}{L_{r2}}\phi_{i2}^*
\end{align*} \tag{23} \]

V.2. Application of the control to the second machine

Along the axis “d”

\[ \begin{align*}
i_{d2m} &= K_{d2} \text{sign}(S(\phi_{r2})) \\
i_{d2eq} &= \frac{J_{r2}}{L_{m2}} \dot{\phi}_{r2}^* + \frac{1}{L_{m2}}\phi_{r2}
\end{align*} \tag{24} \]

And

\[ \begin{align*}
V_{eq} &= K_{d2} \text{sign}(S(i_{d2}^*)) \\
V_{eq}' &= (\sigma_2L_{d2} + L_{r2})i_{d2}^* \dot{i}_{d2}^* + R_{r2}i_{d2}^* - (\sigma_2L_{d2} + L_{r2})\omega_{r2}i_{d2}^* + \frac{L_{d2}}{L_{r2}}\phi_{i2}^*
\end{align*} \tag{25} \]

Along the axis “q”

\[ \begin{align*}
i_{q2m} &= K_{q2} \text{sign}(S(\Omega_{r2})) \\
i_{q2eq} &= \frac{J_{p2}}{L_{m2}^2} + f_{p2}\Omega_{r2}
\end{align*} \tag{26} \]

And

\[ \begin{align*}
V_{eq} &= K_{q2} \text{sign}(S(i_{q2}^*)) \\
V_{eq}' &= (\sigma_2L_{q2} + L_{r2})i_{q2}^* \dot{i}_{q2}^* + R_{r2}i_{q2}^* - (\sigma_2L_{q2} + L_{r2})\omega_{r2}i_{q2}^* + \frac{L_{q2}}{L_{r2}}\phi_{i2}^*
\end{align*} \tag{27} \]

VI. Simulation results

The transposition of the phases allowed the independent control from two machines. We notice that the speed and rotorique flow of two machines after a short transitory regime towards the compulsory references. During the application of the load at the moment t=0.5s, the speed decreases, then returns to its reference. We notice the same thing when the load is eliminated. The undulations of the couple are always due to the not sinusoidal shape of the tension of exit of the inverter.

Figure 3. Sliding mode control of a Five-Phase Series-Connected Two-Motor Drive

Figure 4. Simulation results of the Sliding mode control of a Five-Phase Series-Connected Two-Motor Drive
VII. Conclusion

The results of simulation showed the importance of the transposition of phases applied for the independent control from two machines. We noticed that the use of regulators “sliding mode” improved the answer of the machine, better than during the use of regulators PI, we supposed that the parameters do not vary, what is not the case in practice, the parameters of machines vary either by heating or by saturation, and these variations influence directly the variables of exit of the control.
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